

# Spectral Line Measurements in Exceptionally Low SNR Achieved by virtue of the KLT (Karhunen-Loève Transform)

Claudio Maccone

*Member of the International Academy of Astronautics*

*ITALY*

[clmaccon@libero.it](mailto:clmaccon@libero.it)

Salvatore Pluchino

*IRA-INAF Radiotelescopes at Medicina*

*ITALY*

Francesco Schillirò

*Technology Researcher*

*IRA-INAF Radiotelescope at Noto*

*ITALY*

A little-known tool for spectral line measurements is the KLT (acronym for Karhunen-Loève Transform). This mathematical algorithm is superior to the classical FFT in many regards:

1) The KLT can filter signals out of the background noise over both wide and narrow bands. That is in sharp contrast to the FFT that rigorously applies to narrow-band signals only.

2) The KLT can be applied to random functions that are non-stationary in time, i.e. whose autocorrelation is a function of the two independent variables  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  separately. Again, this is a sheer advantage of the KLT over the FFT, inasmuch as the FFT rigorously applies to stationary processes only, i.e. processes whose autocorrelation is a function of the absolute value of the difference of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  only.

3) It can detect signals embedded in noise to unbelievably small values of the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), like  $10^{-4}$  or so. This particular feature of the KLT is studied in detail in this paper. As a practical application, we show the case of a 43 GHz line emission produced by SiO and detected experimentally in R Cassiopeae by virtue of the KLT already available at the IRA-INAF Noto radiotelescope in Sicily, Italy. Many more similar examples could be given as well.

An excellent filtering algorithm as the KLT, however, comes with a cost that one must be ready to pay for: its computational burden is much higher than for the FFT. In fact, it can be shown that no *fast* KLT transform can possibly exist and, for an autocorrelation matrix of size  $N$ , the calculations must be of the order of  $N^2$ , rather than  $N \cdot \log(N)$ . Nevertheless, for moderate values of  $N$  (in the hundreds) the KLT dominates over the FFT, as we show in this paper with mathematical details.